Wolfgang Kaiser, Überlegungen zum Vertragsschluss bei einer μίσθωσις über Grundstücke.

ZSav 139 (2022) pp. 391-409.

Discusses the question how a  $\mu$ iσθωσις of properties can become binding for the parties according to the greco-egyptian documents. It tries to show that Hans Julius Wolff's teaching of the "Zweckverfügung" is not sustainable for the conclusion of a  $\mu$ iσθωσις of properties. Instead of modifying this teaching (Behrend, Herrmann and Kränzlein), which is not indicated by the documents, the  $\mu$ iσθωσις of properties in the greco-egyptian documents can also be seen as a binding contract, and its liability is based on the consensus of the parties.

2022-0703

1/1